How to fuel a sun?

Saskia Mordijck (W&M)



For billions of years fusion has powered the stars









-

Helium + n + energy

THERMAL ENERGY



Tritium

Deuterium

Helium + n + energy

80% goes to generate electricity

20% goes to sustain reaction

THERMAL ENERGY

© NASA























Toroidal B-field











Poloidal B-field







Poloidal B-field





General Atomics

The Tokamak: some pictures of the interior of a tokamak

The DIII-D Tokamak





General Atomics

The Tokamak: some pictures of the interior of a tokamak

JET Tokamak: currently the world largest Tokamak





The Tokamak: some pictures of the interior of a tokamak





Courtesy of Dr. Alec Thomas, University of Michigan

99% of all visible matter comes in the form of a plasma





Plasma Physics is required to address some of the fundamental questions that govern our universe

Ċ

What is the origin of magnetic fields?

Why does the Sun's magnetic field reverse periodically?



Why is the outer layer of the Sun hotter than its core?



Is the universe at very large scales anisotropic, making the cosmological principle an invalid assumption?



Can we confine a hot and dense enough plasma long enough for fusion power to become a reality?





What is the exact mechanism by which an implosion of a dying star becomes an explosion?















To reach ignition using DT: τ_e ~ 10⁻¹ on DIII-D 10³ n_e ~ 10²¹ on DIII-D





To reach ignition using DT: $\tau_e \sim 10^{-1}$ on DIII-D $n_e \sim 10^{21}$ on DIII-D $\tau_e \sim 10^{\circ}$ on JET $n_e \sim 10^{20}$ on JET





To reach ignition using DT: $\tau_e \sim 10^{-1}$ on DIII-D $n_e \sim 10^{21}$ on DIII-D $\tau_e \sim 10^{\circ}$ on JET $n_e \sim 10^{2\circ}$ on JET $\tau_e \sim 10^{\circ-1}$ on ITER $n_e \sim 10^{19-2\circ}$ on ITER





To reach ignition using DT: $\tau_e \sim 10^{-1}$ on DIII-D $n_e \sim 10^{21}$ on DIII-D $\tau_e \sim 10^{\circ}$ on JET $n_e \sim 10^{2\circ}$ on JET $\tau_e \sim 10^{\circ-1}$ on ITER $n_e \sim 10^{19-2\circ}$ on ITER

> DD experiments now: τ_e ~ 0.25 on DIII-D n_e ~ 1x10²⁰ on DIII-D Q_{DT} ~ 0.6







What sets the pedestal density profile?







What sets the pedestal density profile? Role of neutral density and ionization





What sets the pedestal density profile? Role of transport



What sets the pedestal density profile? Role of transport



What sets the pedestal density profile? Role of transport















Integrated predictive modeling for ITER based on understanding of current devices result in disappearance of density pedestal structure

- Integrated modeling using JINTRAC & SOLPS to predict ITER profiles
- The model relies for transport on a diffusion coefficient
- Increases in fueling does not result in a shift, nor an increase of the density gradient
- Need to perform experiments to investigate the role of opacity





How do pedestals change when the SOL becomes more opaque?



How do pedestals change when the SOL becomes more opaque?



































Normalized increases in gas fueling result in an increase in the SOL density independent of opacity levels



Normalized increases in gas fueling result in a much more modest increase of the separatrix density



Normalized increases in gas fueling are similar for the pedestal as well as the separatrix



Low opacity

Lower n_e









Lower n_e













These experiments confirm prior results and modeling from C-Mod: Neutral penetration decreases with increasing n_{e,PED}



0.

-2

0

 $\mathbf{S}_{\mathsf{ion}}$

6

Δ

 $x - x_{LCFS}$ (mm)

density

 $x - x_{LCFS}$ (mm)

10¹⁵ ⊧_ 10 ÷_2



Changing the modeling for C-Mod like conditions to DIII-D like conditions, we observe similar trends as shown experimentally

J.W. Hughes, et al. PoP 13 (2006) 056103



These experiments confirm prior results and modeling from C-Mod: Neutral penetration decreases with increasing neped



So what will the pedestal profile be like? A need to measure and validate neutral source, to study transport


ENDD diagnostic measurements on NSTX-U have been compared to DEGAS modeling of the neutral sources

- ENDD diagnostic measures D_α
- Direct comparisons of DEGAS to measurements show good agreement
- DEGAS results are very sensitive to electron density and temperature in the far SOL





Conclusions

- Density pedestal currently influenced by neutral penetration
- However density pedestal structure cannot be solely determined by fueling
- Opaque SOL conditions indicate that 'peaked' pedestal profiles are possible

Good news for ITER

 However: we need to identify the role of various transport contribution to the particle flux, which means measure neutrals
and validate edge codes